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**Document Purpose**

The purpose of this document is to describe the detailed specifications for the test scenarios, cases and scripts required to complete the specific type of testing (Integrated Functional Testing and/or Regression). It will fully define the testing approach to validate that the agreed upon application solution will perform as documented in the BRD and FSD.NOTE: Integrated Functional Testing (IFT) and Regression may be documented separately or combined into one plan.

**Approvals**

Documentation of approval is required from those designated as an approver in the table below.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Role & Responsibility** | **Approver’s name** | **Approval Date** | **Approval Method** |
| APO | MD Rahman |  |  |
| Project Manager | MD Zaman |  |  |
| Project Manager | Lisa self |  |  |
| BA |  |  |  |
| ECT QA Manager |  |  |  |

**Contributors**

The list of individuals who provided content to this document .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Project role** |
| Weind Smith | CIV QA Lead |
| Micael Fischer | CIV QA Analyst |
| Lori Myers | Test Coordinator |

**Reviewers**

The listed individuals had the opportunity to review this document and provide input prior to submission for approval.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Project role** |
| Weind Smith | ECT Dev |
| Micael Fischer | ECT Dev |
| Lori Myers | STM Catalyst |
| Jukie Rimmer | Project Manager |
| Cara Leise | STM Catalyst |
| Mike Kirby | ECT QA Automation |
| Tareque Ahmed | ECT QA Automation |
| MD Zaman | ECT QA Automation |
| David Lucas | ECT QA Automation |

**Version History**

List of all revisions to the original artifact, starting with the publication of the original artifact with the author listed.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Revision Date** | **Revised By** | **Description of change** |
| 1.00 | DD/MM/YY | MD Zaman | Initial draft |
| 1.01 | DD/MM/YY | MD Zaman | Updated draft |
| 1.02 | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith | Updates for Iteration 1 |
| 1.03 | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith | Updates for Iteration 2 |
| 1.04 | DD/MM/YY | Lori myres | Updates for Iteration 3 |

**Glossary of Terms**

An inventory of terms and acronyms within this document that may be unfamiliar to readers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Term/Acronym** | **Defination** |
| APS | Account Product Services -Middleware system that provides access and processing of data from the various SORs within Wells Fargo. |
| AT | Authentication Tracker |
| BAU | Business As Usual |
| Black Knight | SOR for Mortgage accounts |
| BPP | Business Process & Procedures |
| BRD | Business Requirements Definition document |
| BSC or BSC | Business Systems Consultant or e-Commerce based Business Systems Consultant |
| CCA | Cross-Channel Applications |
| CCBS | Credit Card Business Service (middleware) |
| CCI | Common Channel Infrastructure –Group that manages CIV hardware and reference database used byCIV. Database includes trancode and user based reference data. |
| CDOT | Consumer, Deposits and Operations Technology |
| CDTS | Clear Quest Defect Tracking System |
| CEH | Customer Event History |
| CR | Change Request |
| CIV | Customer Information View –A J2EE web-based caller-servicing application used by the Phone Bank Lines of Business. Supports the various Wells Fargo phone bank customer services groups and is responsible for the GUI presentation, navigation, and processing of data. |
| CMS | Content Management System (keys for Virtual Library content) –Houses content created and maintained by CIV users. |
| CTI | Computer Telephony Integration –Its roles include, but are not limited to, data transport mechanism and application for intelligent call routing. |
| CUAC | Customer to Account relationship code –Represents a relationship between a customer and an account. (e.g. Authorized Signer vs. Sole Owner, CUAC 804 = HSA Dependent Card User, CUAC 003 = HSA Account Owner) |
| DDA | Demand Deposit Account (checking, savings, etc., on Hogan) |
| DCBS | Debit Card Business Service |
| DSSG | Distribution Strategies and Services |
| E2E | End to End testing |
| E2E | Enterprise Customer Business Services –An organizational group within the EBS organization that supports services related to sales, service, and retention, such as Customer Profile, Best Product Recommendation, and Streamline Address Change. |
| EBS | Enterprise Call Center Strategy Portal |
| ECBS | Enterprise Customer Number |
| ECCS | Enterprise Customer Profiling and Referral (see ECBS) |
| ECN | Enterprise Contact Technology |

**1. Testing Approach – required**

Due to the EDGE technology reaching its end of life from the vendor who supports, we will need to move the Mortgage Servicing Specialists over to the **APP name** as a replacement for the current EDGE/Touchdown environments.This project will be done by using Agile methodology as well as iterative approach to development and testing. The test plan will be updated after each iteration cycle with more specific information related to what occurred. This includes updating the detailed testing scope as well as after each iteration cycle. Testing will be performed in the ET test environment. The following testing may be included depending on the needs, user stories developed & tested and the extent of the iteration:

* Unit Testing
* System and Integrated Testing
* Manual and Automated Regression
* Automation
* End to End

Note: Test Strategy and Package Test Plan contains the Testing Details that will be covered for the scope of this project

**2. Impacted Areas**

Required if Test Strategy or Package Test Plan not completed List the areas that will be impacted by testing, including a high-level description of their participation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Team or Team support testing** | **Role & Responsibilities** |
| CIV QA | Functional testing, Regression testing, Automation and E2E testing |
| CIV Dev. | New Development/Unit Test |
| Web Services | New Development/Unit Test |

.

**2. Testing Assumptions, Constraints, Dependencies, and Risks –required**

List assumptions, constraints, and dependencies that will impact the specific type of testing detailed in this plan. This section should include descriptions of any dependencies on external resources such as support teams or other packages to successfully complete the planned testing, as well as any other dependencies that other projects or packages have on the testing being done in this plan. This section should also include a process for communicating delays in testing and define a remediation process to position the package to continue testing.

**3.1 Assumptions**

* User stories in iteration complete and approved.
* Acceptance criteria reviewed and approved.
* Test environments available
* Adequate resources will be available to complete end to end testing.
* Adequate resources will be available to resolve issues and/or defects.
* Code is in process or has been unit tested by the development team and there are no high issues impacting QA testing.
* QA is expecting unit test results for each iteration
* Applications will complete their functional/regression testing and end to end testing by the defined completion date for each iteration
* During test script development, the business analysts will be available to the QA testers to answer any application documentation or functionality questions.
* Prolonged issues/outages with test environments and/or applications will be escalated for immediate resolution to limit any negative impact to testing efforts.
* QA will have adequate time to complete planned functional testing.
* QA and all applicable project team members will participate in all test meetings and project meetings to ensure end to end test requirements are met.
* Required test systems will be available.
* Root cause (what is causing the defect to occur), resolution (what exact changes were made to resolve the defect) and impacted functionality will be provided for every defect fix in the defect database being used to track defects.
* Test data requirements have been identified within a timely manner. Test data is available within enough time to start testing each iteration.
* The Development teams are able to turn around any defect fixes in a timely manner, and these fixes are unit tested by the development team before moving them in to ET Environments.
* Test scripts will be written the first few days of week 1 of the iteration while Development codes.
* Development will code and QA will test during remainder of the 1st week and all of 2ndweek of the iteration.
* Ideally QA will test during week 3 of the iteration without any builds, providing the most stable code possible to test again. It is understood this may change based on workload within each iteration and defects found.
* Test Results Summary will be provided with results for each iteration

**3.2Constraints**

* Testing will be completed while development is coding
* Timely communication of all internal and external defects by all applications
* Timely resolutions of identified issues/defects

**3.3Dependencies**

* Extended team member identified, contacted and committed to iteration
* Middleware mapping, coding, schema complete for iteration
* Changes to systems architecture, test environment, or other major components occur during the course of the iteration.
* Inability to resolve internal or external defects
* Primary Team Members and Extended team members are available to resolve problems that will affect the completion of testing activities.
* Deploy of code within the timeframe allotted.
* Code available to test stories successfully.
* Completion of user stories in each iteration.
* Completion of test plans for each iteration.
* Completion of end to end test scenarios and requirements.
* Quick resolution of identified issues and/or defects.
* Daily builds to occur every morning at 8am CT. QA has the right to request additional builds/deploys as needed.
* XCT IAT environment will have code available for current iteration.
* XCT IST environment will have code available from previous iteration.
* XCT UAT environment is available to use as needed. Usage may change from iteration to iteration.

3.4Risks

* Incomplete environment to support testing.
* Delays in delivery of user stories or coding to support testing by the start date. Dedicated resources unavailable
* Delay in the completion of the test planning phase.
* Unstable test environment

4. Testing Details – required

Documents relevant details for the specific type of testing to be conducted

**Iteration 1:**

4.1Integrated Functional Testing

4.1.1 Description

Provide a detailed description of the testing activities that will be performed.

Integrated Functional Testing

•The following User Stories will be included in Iteration 1:

* INW -1 - New tab will be added it's called "git" on the conf page
* INW - 7 - Header content of the "Sign Up" & It's quick and easy.
* INW -8 - Mobile number or email and New password Input Field on the "GIT" page
* ETOC-141 –Select the Type of Impact for processing disaster relief
* ETOC-142 –Select Yes or No to is Property Damagedo
* ETOC-108 –See the options for completing a promise to payo
* ETOC-113 –Select who is making the promise and how they contacted WFo
* ETOC-114 –Select the Method of Paymento
* ETOC-122 –Select Reason for Delayo
* ETOC-123 –Select Source of Funds

4.1.2 Test Environment

Provide details related to the test environments that will be used (i.e. URLs, Schedule, etc.)

For iteration 1, we will be provided with URLs from ECT Dev. to be able to access and test the application for this iteration.

4.1.3Target Test Schedule (Deliverables/Milestone)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Start Date** | **End Date** | **Resource** |
| Test Plan Iteration 1 | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith |
| CIV QA ET | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith  Lori Myer |
| Test Summary Report | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith |

4.1.4 Source of Test Data

* No test data needed for Iteration 1

4.1.5 Type of Test Data

Describe the type of test data that will be used during testing (i.e. debit card accounts, credit card accounts, SSN, etc.)

* No test data needed for Iteration 1

4.1.6 Entrance Criteria

List the prerequisites to start testing

The information below applies to all iterations:

* All user stories and user story acceptance criteria for current iteration are approved by the project team
* QA Test plans is complete and approved for the current iteration
* QA Test scripts have been created for each iteration
* The developer(s) has performed or is performing Unit and Integrated Unit Testing and created supporting documentation for each iteration
* Unit test cases executed with no high severity (sev 1 or 2) defects
* Service virtualization and test data creation completed
* The test environment(s) are available and correctly configured for QA testing
* Interfacing applications are ready for Quality Assurance (QA) testing to begin
* Test data has been obtained for each iteration
* Development has discussed with QA what coding will be completed for each iteration

4.1.7 Test Scenarios, Cases, and Scripts

If the test scenarios, cases, and scripts are located in Quality Center (QC) then provide the QC URL, domain, project, and other relevant information that will allow an individual to locate the information within QC. Otherwise, use this section to document the test scenarios, cases, and scripts required for testing.

<https://msz5213.github.io/ittciwebsite/>

Domain: https://msz5213.github.io

Project: internet website

4.1.8 Special Test Requirements

Document additional testing requirements if any

4.1.9Exit Criteria

List the prerequisites for completing testing and providing final approval.

The information below applies to all iterations:

* All acceptance criteria have been validated, if unable to validate, these items have been identified to be added to the backlog and the discussion with the business has been completed on those items
* All open defects have been discussed with Business/user
* No open Critical or High Defects
* Business User acceptance of any open medium/low defects
* Workarounds needed for defects not closed (deferred)
* QA test results will be discussed with Business user (100% test cases executed and/or passed, with remaining test cases that didn’t pass being moved to backlog) upon business acceptance.

Iteration 2:

4.2Integrated Functional Testing

4.2.1Description

Provide a detailed description of the testing activities that will be performed.

Integrated Functional Testing

•The following User Stories will be included in Iteration 2:

* ETOC-51 -Display Repayment Plan Details table
* ETOC-91 -Display Bankruptcy Information
* ETOC-93 -Display Foreclosure Information
* ETOC-117 -Hover tip for required payment amount
* ETOC-118 -System to calculate and pre-populate the Date payment will be received field
* ETOC-125 -Upon Submit, prompt for missing Promise to pay fields
* ETOC-316 -Display Payment Breakdown table in Payment Information section on Default main page
* ETOC-337 -Display fields on SCRA tab
* ETOC-338 -Hover message for SCRA acronym
* ETOC-339 -Display checkbox to start SCRA review
* ETOC-341 -Clear button functions on SCRA tab
* ETOC-342 -Display fields and radio buttons for Cease & Desist/Atty Rep tab
* ETOC-344 -Clear button clears on C & D tab
* ETOC-345 -Hover tip for the regular payment amount in Payment Breakdown table
* ETOC-346 -Hover tip for the # of payments due in Payment Breakdown table
* ETOC-347 -Hover tip for Total amount of payment due on Payment Breakdown table
* ETOC-361 -System allows payment amount to be entered
* ETOC-364 -Display Current Reporting table in Credit Reporting tab
* ETOC-368 -Create and test standards for ID's and Styling of Page Elements

**4.2.2** Test Environment

Provide details related to the test environments that will be used (i.e. URLs, Schedule, etc..)

For iteration 2, we will be provided with URLs from ECT Dev. to be able to access and test the application for this iteration.

**4.2.3** Target Test Schedule (Deliverables/Milestone)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Start Date** | **End Date** | **Resource** |
| Test Plan Iteration 2 | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Lori Myers |
| CIV QA ET | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Wendi Smith  Lori Myers |
| Test Summary Report | DD/MM/YY | DD/MM/YY | Lori Myers |

**4.2.4** Source of Test Data

* No test data needed for Iteration 2

**4.2.5** Type of Test Data

Describe the type of test data that will be used during testing (i.e. debit card accounts, credit card accounts, SSN, etc.)

* No test data needed for Iteration 2

**4.2.6** Entrance Criteria

List the prerequisites to start testing

The information below applies to all iterations:

* All user stories and user story acceptance criteria for current iteration are approved by the project team
* QA Test plans is complete and approved for the current iteration
* QA Test scripts have been created for each iteration
* The developer(s) has performed or is performing Unit and Integrated Unit Testing and created supporting documentation for each iteration
* Unit test cases executed with no high severity (sev 1 or 2) defects
* Service virtualization and test data creation completed
* The test environment(s) are available and correctly configured for QA testing
* Interfacing applications are ready for Quality Assurance (QA) testing to begin
* Test data has been obtained for each iteration
* Development has discussed with QA what coding will be completed for each iteration

**4.2.7** Test Scenarios, Cases, and Scripts

If the test scenarios, cases, and scripts are located in Quality Center (QC) then provide the QC URL, domain, project, and other relevant information that will allow an individual to locate the information within QC. Otherwise, use this section to document the test scenarios, cases, and scripts required for testing.

<https://msz5213.github.io/ittciwebsite/>

Domain: https://msz5213.github.io

Project: internet website

**4.2.8** Special Test Requirements

Document additional testing requirements if any

**4.2.9** Exit Criteria

List the prerequisites for completing testing and providing final approval.

The information below applies to all iterations:

* All acceptance criteria have been validated, if unable to validate, these items have been identified to be added to the backlog and the discussion with the business has been completed on those items
* All open defects have been discussed with Business/user
* No open Critical or High Defects
* Business User acceptance of any open medium/low defects
* Workarounds needed for defects not closed (deferred)
* QA test results will be discussed with Business user (100% test cases executed and/or passed, with remaining test cases that didn’t pass being moved to backlog) upon business acceptance.

**4.3** Automated Regression

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Description | Regression testing provides a mechanism to ensure that any modified code has not caused defects or deviations from the previously existing functionality. This testing phase encompasses both manual and automated test suites which are managed through the HP ALM Test Platform (ETP) process and tool set. Regression normally supports two basic types of test runs:  1.Full Regression –All tests in the regression suite are run and analyzed for any possible regressions in the code.  2.Targeted Regression –Specific parts of the regression suite can be run in order to provide coverage for a particular change that is introduced and/or configuration changes to the environment (i.e. Flag configuration changes). |
| Test Environment | ET |
| Target Test Schedule(Deliverables / Milestones) | Iteration 1: 3/24/16 –4/13/1 |
| Participants / Applications | CIV XCT QA and Automation team |
| Source of Test Data | No test data needed for Iteration 1 |
| Type of Test Data | No test data needed for Iteration 1 |
| Entrance Criteria | * Code has entered ET. * Release testing is complete and code freeze in place. |
| Links to: Test Scenarios Test Cases Test Scripts w/Expected Results | HP Quality Center  Domain: https://msz5213.github.io  Project: internet website |
| Special Test Requirements |  |
| Exit Criteria | * All executed regression tests have been analyzed. * All severity one and two defects have been resolved and closed. * All other defects (below severity two) have either been closed or added to the backlog and deferred to a later iteration. |

**4.4** Manual Regression (optional)

If this section is not used delete information 4.3.1 through 4.3.

5**. Impacted Applications –required**

5.1Systems Changed

Iteration 1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| App ID | XCT |
| IDXCTApp | Cross Channel Tools |
| Type of Testing | Functional and integrated |
| App Point of Contact | Wendi Smith |
| Validation Scope | Functional and integrated |
| Test Phases | ET |
| Gets & Gives |  |
| Source of Data | Not needed for Iteration 1 |
| Destination of Data |  |
| File Transmissions |  |
|  |  |

Iteration 2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| App ID | XCT |
| IDXCTApp | Cross Channel Tools |
| Type of Testing | Functional and integrated |
| App Point of Contact | Wendi Smith |
| Validation Scope | Functional and integrated |
| Test Phases | ET |
| Gets & Gives |  |
| Source of Data | Not needed for Iteration 2 |
| Destination of Data |  |
| File Transmissions |  |
|  |  |

5.2 Regression Testing Only

Iteration 1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| App ID | XCT |
| IDXCTApp | Cross Channel Tools |
| Type of Testing | Functional and integrated |
| App Point of Contact | Wendi Smith |
| Validation Scope | Functional and integrated |
| Test Phases | ET |
| Gets & Gives |  |
| Source of Data | Not needed for Iteration 1 |
| Destination of Data |  |
| File Transmissions |  |

Iteration 2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| App ID | XCT |
| IDXCTApp | Cross Channel Tools |
| Type of Testing | Functional and integrated |
| App Point of Contact | Wendi Smith |
| Validation Scope | Functional and integrated |
| Test Phases | ET |
| Gets & Gives |  |
| Source of Data | Not needed for Iteration 2 |
| Destination of Data |  |
| File Transmissions |  |

**5.3** Support Applications

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| App ID | XCT |
| IDXCTApp | Cross Channel Tools |
| Type of Testing | Functional and integrated |
| App Point of Contact | Wendi Smith |
| Validation Scope | Functional and integrated |
| Test Phases | ET |
| Gets & Gives |  |
| Source of Data | Not needed for Iteration 2 |
| Destination of Data |  |
| File Transmissions |  |

6. Traceability Matrix

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | ID # | Source |  |
| Name and Version number of the document where the solution design is established | Individual Architecture identifier from the source document | Name of document where test scripts are established | individual Test Script identifier from the source document |
| FSD v1.3 | 1.1.12 | QC URL =  Domain=  Project= | 1.2.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**8. Testing Control Procedures**

Required if not documented in the Test Strategy or Package Test Plan

Provide the description of the Testing Control Procedures. This may include:

* Planned communication/meetings –Daily stand up meetings to discuss iteration testing progress and other meetings to verify progress of project as well as when issues occur
* Test status reporting –Weekly summary report to APO, PM and Business product manager of iteration testing progress
* Defect management (including prioritization scheme for defect tracking and resolution) –Create defects in JIRA using any established CIV QA criteria for prioritization to resolution
* Procedures and tools for identifying, recording, and tracking test results through closure –CIV QA test plan will be updated after each iteration has been completed
* Classification codes –N/A
* Approval process to be followed –approvals will be gathered for all iterations delivered for release code delivery and the same will be true once project has been completed
* Testing risks and issues management –These items will be documented at the project level based on discussion with APO, PM and Business product manager as to what issues are written in JIRA or ALM

Reference(s) to documented procedure(s) in type-specific plans and other locations

**8. Test Tools and Repository**

This section is required if a Test Strategy document or Package Test Plan has not been completed. List the testing tools required for test execution and defect reporting; identify the test documentation repository.

9.1Testing Tools:

* HP Quality Center (ALM) for application defects
* CIV Service Application for testing
* EDGE Application for testing
* XCT Application for testing
* CDTS ClearQuest for middleware defects
* JIRA for user stories, sub-tasks and issues
* MSP
* MDR Tool
* DIBS

9.2Documentation Repository:

●These artifacts will be stored in HP QC (http://qc-alm.wellsfargo.com/qcbin/start\_a.jsp), in the CBT domain and XCT project. The test scenarios, cases and scripts are located in the test lab module under the \Root\Speed to Market\P0504990 Edge to CIV Mortgage Servicing.

●All User Stories with Acceptance Criteria and results validation materials will be compiled and posted in the projects Jira site:

<https://ittraining.atlassian.net/secure/RapidBoard.jspa?rapidView=1&projectKey=INW&selectedIssue=INW-7>

●All testing requirement documents, test scripts and results validation materials will be compiled and posted in the Application Lifecycle Management toll –Quality Center: http://alm-ent.wellsfargo.com/qcbin/start\_a.jsp

●CBT QA –CCT <http://eg.homestead.wellsfargo.com/sites/CCTQA/Pages/CrossChannel.aspx> Document artifacts for all iterations and project

10.Logical Day Processing Details

Required if testing involves Logical Day processing

Provide the logical day calendar if testing is dependent on the calendar. Identify the logical days in which the package will participate when applicable. Identify independent application processing schedule when applicable.

Logical day information will be added for each iteration when it requires logic day testing.

**Iteration 1: N/A**

**11. Project Document References**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Document Type | Name and Location | Author | Version | Approved Date |
| User Story | ETOC-264 –Design Default Main Screen Skeleton (JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-269 –Create Clear and Submit buttons (JIRA | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-87 –Ability to select process disaster relief or Decline disaster relief (JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-141 –Select the Type of Impact for processing disaster relief (JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-122 –Select Reason for Delay (JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-123 –Select Source of Funds(JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-114 –Select the Method of Payment (JIRA | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |
| User Story | ETOC-108 –See the options for completing a promise to pay (JIRA) | Lisa SelfJeanne KoppeKari Tekolste | N/A | N/A |